

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY



3 1761 10084822 5



Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2018 with funding from  
University of Toronto

<https://archive.org/details/materialsonindus00hayh>

MATERIALS ON INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY

Copyright (C) 1986 by W.L. Hayhurst

TORONTO

Faculty of Law

University of Toronto



## CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
SYLLABUS	i
CANADIAN TRADE MARKS ACT	A1
SPECIMEN CANADIAN TRADE MARK REGISTRATION	B1
CANADIAN COPYRIGHT ACT	C1
SPECIMEN CANADIAN COPYRIGHT REGISTRATION	D1
CANADIAN INDUSTRIAL DESIGN ACT	E1
CANADIAN INDUSTRIAL DESIGNS RULES	F1
SPECIMEN CANADIAN INDUSTRIAL DESIGN REGISTRATION	G1
STATUTE OF MONOPOLIES	H1
CANADIAN PATENT ACT	I1
SPECIMEN CANADIAN PATENT	J1
CANADIAN CRIMINAL CODE (EXTRACTS)	K1
CANADIAN COMBINES INVESTIGATION ACT (EXTRACTS)	L1
BILL C-91 TO AMEND COMBINES INVESTIGATION ACT (EXTRACTS)	M1
U.S. PATENT ACT (EXTRACTS)	N1



FACULTY OF LAW - UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY

1986 Syllabus

TRADE MARKS AND UNFAIR TRADING:

References: Fox, "Canadian Law of Trade Marks", 3rd ed., (1972)  
Robic-Leger, "Canadian Trade Marks Act Annotated"  
(1984)  
Hughes on Trade Marks (1984)  
Kerly, "Trade Marks and Trade Names", 11th ed. (1983)  
McCarthy, "Trademarks and Unfair Competition", 2nd ed.  
(1984)

Trade Marks Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. T-10

Goodwill:

Haig v. Forth Blending, (1953), 70 R.P.C. 259, 261 ("Dimple" bottle)  
Star Industrial v. Yap Kwee Kor, [1976] F.S.R. 256, 269-270 (Ace Brand)  
Bollinger v. Costa Brava, [1960] R.P.C. 16; [1961] R.P.C. 116 (Champagne)  
Vine Products v. MacKenzie, [1969] R.P.C. 1, 23-24, 27, 29 (Sherry)  
Bulmer v. Bollinger, [1978] R.P.C. 79, 93-100, 117 (Champagne Cider)  
Erven Warnink v. Townend, [1980] R.P.C. 31 (Advocaat)

Section 7 of the Trade Marks Act:

MacDonald v. Vapor, [1977] 2 S.C.R. 134  
Asbjorn Hogard v. Northwest Tackle, (1981), 56 C.P.R. (2d) 115

The elements of passing off:

(a) Length of time plaintiff has traded:

Licensed Victuallers v. Bingham, (1888), 38 Ch. D. 139, 142-143  
Stannard v. Reay, [1967] R.P.C. 389 (Mr. Chippy)  
Maxwell v. Hogg, (1867) L.R. 2 Ch. App. 307 (Belgravia)  
B.B.C. v. Talbot, [1981] F.S.R. 228 (Carfax)  
My Kinda Bones v. Dr. Pepper's, [1984] F.S.R. 289 (Chicago Rib Shack)

Elida Gibbs v. Colgate-Palmolive, [1983] F.S.R. 95 (tree theme)  
TV Guide v. Publications La Semaine, (1986), 6 C.I.P.R. 110 (Tele-SEMAINE)  
C.B.S. v. Logical Games, (1984), 221 U.S.P.Q. 498  
Peter Isaacson v. Nationwide News, (1985), 3 I.P.R. 255 (Sunday Territorian)

(b) Territorial extent of plaintiff's reputation:

Chelsea Man v. Chelsea Girl, [1985] F.S.R. 567  
Stork Restaurant v. Sahati, (1948), 166 F. 2d 348  
Ewing v. Buttercup Margarine, (1917), 34 R.P.C. 232, 237, 239  
Anheuser-Busch v. Budejovicky Budvar, [1984] F.S.R. 413, (Budweiser)  
Taco Co. v. Taco Bell, (1982), 42 A.L.R. 177  
Valle's Steak House v. Tessier, (1980), 49 C.P.R. (2d) 218  
Orkin v. Pestco, (1985), 5 C.P.R. (3d) 433  
Barratt v. Auto Electric, (1955), 21 C.P.R. 30  
Brewster Transport v. Rocky Mountain Tours, [1931] S.C.R. 336 (Royal Blue Line)  
White Horse Distillers v. Gregson, [1984] R.P.C. 61

(c) Risk of damage:

My Kinda Town v. Soll, [1983] R.P.C. 407  
Day v. Brownrigg, (1878), 10 Ch. D. 294, 304-305 (Ashford Lodge)  
Kean v. McGivan, [1982] F.S.R. 119 (Social Democratic Party)  
British Legion v. British Legion, (1931), 48 R.P.C. 555  
Fletcher Challenge v. Fletcher Challenge [1982] F.S.R. 1  
McCulloch v. May, (1948), 65 R.P.C. 58, 64-67 (Uncle Mac)  
Johnston v. Orr Ewing, (1882), 7 A.C. 219, 229-230 (two elephants ticket)  
Newsweek v. B.B.C., [1979] R.P.C. 441, 447  
Walter v. Emmott, (1885), 54 L.J. Ch. 1059, 1061-1065 (The Mail)  
Cadbury-Schweppes v. Pub Squash, [1981] R.P.C. 429  
Philip Morris v. Reynolds, (1976), 188 U.S.P.Q. 289 (Lights)  
Champagne Moet et Chandon v. Sainsbury, (1982) 6 E.I.P.R. D-127  
Big O v. Goodyear, (1977) 195 U.S.P.Q. 417 (Big Foot)  
Plomien v. National School of Salesmanship, (1943), 60 R.P.C. 209  
Fisher v. Star, (1921), 132 N.E. 133 (Mutt & Jeff)  
Shaw v. Golden Harvest, [1972] R.P.C. 559 (the One-armed Swordsman)

(d) Similarity of marks:

Seixo v. Provezende, (1866), L.R. 1 Ch. App. 192, 194-7  
Tartan v. Carling, [1970] S.C.R. 323 (Pilcan)  
Saville v. June Perfect, (1941), 58 R.P.C. 147, 160-163

## (e) Similarity of activities:

Harrods Ld. v. R. Harrod Ld., (1923), 41 R.P.C. 74, 81, 87  
 Francis Day & Hunter v. Twentieth Century-Fox, [1940] A.C. 112 (The Man Who Broke the Bank at Monte Carlo)  
 Yale v. Robertson, (1928), 46 F. 2d 972, 973-974  
 Dallas Cowboys Cheerleaders v. Pussycat, (1979) 203 U.S.P.Q. 161  
 Notre Dame v. Twentieth Century-Fox, (1965), 259 N.Y.S. 2d 832  
 Annabel's v. Schock, [1972] R.P.C. 838, 844  
 Lego v. Lego, [1983] F.S.R. 155  
 Wombles v. Wombles, [1977] R.P.C. 99  
 Tavener Rutledge v. Trexapalm, [1977] R.P.C. 273 (Kojakpops)  
 Stringfellow v. McCain, [1984] R.P.C. 501  
 Children's TV Workshop v. Woolworths, [1981] R.P.C. 187 (Muppets)  
 Lorimar v. Sterling, [1982] R.P.C. 395 (Dallas)

## (f) Publicity rights:

Booth v. Colgate-Palmolive, (1973), 179 U.S.P.Q. 819  
 Sim v. Heinz, [1959] R.P.C. 75  
 Krouse v. Chrysler, (1974), 1 O.R. (2d) 225  
 Racine v. C.J.R.C., (1978), 17 O.R. (2d) 370  
 Athans v. Canadian Adventure Camps, (1978), 17 O.R. (2d) 425  
 Heath v. Weist-Barron, (1982), 62 C.P.R. (2d) 92  
 Zacchini v. Scripps Howard, (1977), 97 S.Ct. 2849  
 Carson v. Reynolds, (1980), 49 C.P.R. (2d) 57  
 Saccone v. Orr, (1981), 34 O.R. (2d) 317  
 Exxon v. Exxon, [1982] R.P.C. 69  
 Multivision v. McConnell, (1983), 69 C.P.R. (2d) 1

## (g) Nature and extent of recognition and of relief:

Cellular v. Maxton, (1899), 16 R.P.C. 397, 408  
 Reddaway v. Banham, [1896] A.C. 199 (Camel Hair Belting)  
 Buswell-Wilkie v. Brian Buswell, [1985] F.S.R. 434  
 Treasure Cot v. Hamley, (1950), 67 R.P.C. 89, 91 93

## (h) Descriptive terms:

Fawcett v. Valentine, [1950] Ex. C.R. 246, 254 (True Confessions)  
 Fawcett v. Popular Mechanics, (1937), 92 F. 2d 181  
 Office Cleaning Services, Ld. v. Westminster Window, (1946), 63 R.P.C. 39, 41-43  
 McCain v. Country Fair, [1981] R.P.C. 69 (Oven Chips)  
 Burberrys v. Cording, (1909), 26 R.P.C. 693, 701, 704 (Slip-on)  
 Havana Cigar v. Oddenino, (1924), 41 R.P.C. 47, 55-56, 61 (Corona)

## (i) Geographical terms:

Huntley v. Reading, (1893), 10 R.P.C. 277, 280-281

## (j) Surnames:

Chivers v. Chivers, (1900), 17 R.P.C. 420, 429-430

## (k) Name of the goods:

Linoleum v. Nairn, (1878), 7 Ch. D. 834

## (l) Get-up:

Lever v. Goodwin, (1887), 4 R.P.C. 492, 506

Imperial Group v. Philip Morris, [1984] R.P.C. 293

Haig v. Forth, (1953), 70 R.P.C. 259, 261

Edge v. Niccolls, (1911), 28 R.P.C. 582, 588 594

Parke, Davis v. Empire, [1964] S.C.R. 351, 354, 357-358

Sears v. Stiffel, (1964), 376 U.S. 225

Comco v. Day-Brite, (1964), 376 U.S. 234, 237-9

Boston Hockey Assn. v. Dallas Cap, (1975), 510 F. 2d 1004

Job's Daughters v. Lindeburg, (1980), 63 F. 2d 912

## (m) Genuine goods:

Consumers Distributing v. Seiko Time, [1984] 1 S.C.R. 583

Revlon v. Cripps, [1980] F.S.R. 85

Wilkinson v. Cripps, [1982] F.S.R. 16

Illustrated Newspapers v. Publicity Services, (1938), 55 R.P.C. 172

Wilts United Dairies v. Robinson, [1957] R.P.C. 220, 237 affd. [1958] R.P.C. 94

## (5)

## False or misleading representations:

Canada Safeway v. Manitoba Food & Chemical Workers, (1983), 73 C.P.R. (2d) 234

Cambridge University Press v. University Tutorial Press, (1928), 45 R.P.C. 335, 345

Testro v. Tenant, (1985), 2 I.P.R. 469

Benjamin v. Distributions Eclair, [1975] F.C. 250, 256

Loutone v. Canadian Everguard, (1972), 5 C.P.R. (2d) 240, 247

Hubbuck v. Wilkinson, [1899] 1 Q.B. 86

DeBeers v. International G.E.C., [1975] F.S.R. 323

Polydor v. Harlequin, [1980] F.S.R. 26

S. & S. v. Rowell, [1966] S.C.R. 419, 424-425

Combines Investigation Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-23 as amended

R. v. Consumers Distributing, (1981), 54 C.P.R. (2d) 50

R. v. Westfair, (1985), 5 C.P.R. (3d) 373

R. v. Irving Oil, (1980), 47 C.P.R. (2d) 179

Jabour v. Law Society of B.C. (1983) 66 C.P.R. (2d) 1  
 R. v. Bristol-Myers, (1980), 45 C.P.R. (2d) 228  
 Pilote v. Rocois, (1986) 8 C.P.R. (3d) 145  
 Criminal Code, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-34  
 R. v. Strong, Cobb, Arner, (1974), 13 C.P.R. (2d) 216

Registration of trade marks:

Galanos v. Registrar, (1983), 69 C.P.R. (2d) 144  
 Gerhard Horn v. Registrar, (1983), 73 C.P.R. (2d) 23  
 GWG v. Registrar, (1981), 55 C.P.R. (2d) 1  
 Registrar v. Provenzano (1978), 37 C.P.R. (2d) 189, aff'd.  
 (1979) 40 C.P.R. (2d) 288  
 Johnson v. Marketing International, [1980] 1 S.C.R. 99  
 Conde Nast v. Gozlan, (1980), 49 C.P.R. (2d) 250  
 Nicholson's Application, (1931), 48 R.P.C. 227, 260, mod.  
 (1932), 49 R.P.C. 88  
 Bombardier v. British Petroleum, (1972), 4 C.P.R. (2d) 204,  
 aff'd. [1973] F.C. 480  
 MacEachern v. National Rubber, [1964] Ex. C.R. 135, 142  
 Siscoe v. Munn, [1959] Ex. C.R. 455, 468-469  
 Manhattan v. Princeton, (1972), 4 C.P.R. (2d) 6  
 Mayborn v. Registrar, (1983), 70 C.P.R. (2d) 1  
 Tubeco v. Association Quebecoise, (1980), 49 C.P.R. (2d) 228  
 Porter v. Don the Beachcomber, [1966] Ex. C.R. 982  
 Wenward v. Dynaturf, (1977), 28 C.P.R. (2d) 20  
 Motel 6 v. No. 6 Motel, (1981), 56 C.P.R. (2d) 44, 55-56  
 Wian v. Mady, [1965] 2 Ex. C.R. 3  
 Marineland v. Marine Wonderland, [1974] 2 F.C. 558  
 Re Andres & Gallo, (1976), 25 C.P.R. (2d) 126

Rights acquired by trade mark registration:

(a) Section 20: bona fide use:

Bonus v. Essex. [1965] 1 Ex. C.R. 735, 753-754  
 Henkel v. Super Dragon, (1985), 2 C.P.R. (3d) 361  
 Talbot v. Webley, (1886), 3 R.P.C. 276  
 Stone v. Steelace, (1929), 46 R.P.C. 406, 416-419

(b) Section 22: depreciating the value of goodwill:

Clairol v. Thomas, [1968] 2 Ex. C.R. 552, 556, 568-570, 575  
 Syntex v. Apotex, (1985), 1 C.P.R. (3d) 145  
 Source Perrier v. Fira-Less, (1983), 70 C.P.R. (2d) 61

## (c) Section 19: exclusive right to use:

Building Products v. B.P. Canada, (1961), 36 C.P.R. 121, 131  
 Dunlop v. Booth, (1926), 43 R.P.C. 139, 145-146  
 Champagne Heidsieck v. Buxton, (1930), 47 R.P.C. 28  
 Castrol v. Automotive Oil Supplies, [1983] R.P.C. 315

## (8) Distinctiveness:

## (a) Statutory definition, s. 2:

Philip Morris v. Imperial Tobacco, (1986) 7 C.P.R. (3d) 254  
 Registrar v. Hardie, [1949] S.C.R. 483, 489, 492  
 Liverpool Cable's Application (1929), 46 R.P.C. 99, 124  
 Lea's Application, (1913), 30 R.P.C. 216, 221-222  
 Crosfield's Application, (1910), 26 R.P.C. 837, 857  
 Aladdin v. Canadian Thermos, [1969] 2 Ex. C.R. 80, 113-114, 119-120  
 Anti-Monopoly v. General Mills, (1983), 216 U.S.P.Q. 588  
 Great Lakes v. The Noshery, [1968] 2 Ex. C.R. 622, 636  
 Home Juice v. Orange Maison, [1970] S.C.R. 942  
 Somerlite v. Brown, (1934), 51 R.P.C. 205, 239  
 Sarco v. Sarco, [1968] 2 Ex. C.R. 537, 542  
 "Bostitch" Trade Mark, [1963] R.P.C. 183, 197  
 "Weston" Trade Mark, [1968] R.P.C. 167, 184  
 "GE" Trade Mark, [1969] R.P.C. 418, 448, 454, revd. [1970] R.P.C. 339, 372, 395, revd. [1973] R.P.C. 297, 336  
 Moore Dry Kiln v. U.S. Natural Resources, (1977), 30 C.P.R. (2d) 40  
 Registrar v. Aciers, (1979), 40 C.P.R. (2d) 28

## (b) Effect of assignment:

Pinto v. Badman, (1891), 8 R.P.C. 181, 191-195  
 Globelegance v. Sarkissian, [1974] R.P.C. 603, 605  
 Rey v. Lecouturier, (1910), 27 R.P.C. 268  
 Lacteosote v. Alberman, (1927), 44 R.P.C. 211, 223-225  
 A & P v. Registrar, [1945] Ex. C.R. 233, 242  
 Dubiner v. Cheerio, [1965] 1 Ex. C.R. 524, 543  
 Breck's v. Magder, [1976] 1 S.C.R. 527  
 Leather Cloth v. American Leather Cloth, (1863), 4 De G.J. & S. 137, affd. (1865), 11 H.L.C. 523, 534-535

## (c) Effect of licensing:

Hensher v. Restawile, [1975] R.P.C. 31, 37  
 Coles v. Need, (1933), 50 R.P.C. 379, 386-388  
 Coca-Cola v. Pepsi-Cola, [1938] Ex. C.R. 263, 291-292  
 Robinson v. Finlay, (1878), 9 Ch. D. 487  
 Habib Bank v. Habib Bank, [1982] R.P.C. 1  
 Good Humor v. Good Humor, [1937] Ex. C.R. 61, 73-74  
 Gray Rocks v. Snowy Eagle, (1972), 3 C.P.R. (2d) 9

Chalet v. Foodcorp, (1983), 66 C.P.R. (2d) 56  
Mayborn v. Registrar, (1983), 70 C.P.R. (2d) 1  
Bowden Wire v. Bowden Brake, (1914), 31 R.P.C. 385, 392  
Sund v. Beachcombers, (1961), 27 D.L.R. 2d 434  
Gattuso v. Gattuso, [1968] 2 Ex. C.R. 609  
Dubiner v. Cheerio, [1965] 1 Ex. C.R. 524, 538-544, 556-560,  
affd. [1966] S.C.R. 206, 221-222  
Weight Watchers v. Weight Watchers, (1973), 8 C.P.R. (2d) 118  
Cheerio v. Cheerio, [1965] 1 Ex. C.R. 562  
Holly Hobbie Trade Mark, [1984] R.P.C. 329  
Mackenzie v. Busy Bee, (1978), 32 C.P.R. (2d) 196

